Ferromagnetic Josephson Junction with Precessing Magnetization

Manuel Houzet¹

¹CEA, INAC, SPSMS, F-38054 Grenoble, France (Received 11 April 2008; published 1 August 2008)

The Josephson current in a diffusive superconductor-ferromagnet-superconductor junction with precessing magnetization is calculated within the quasiclassical theory of superconductivity. When the junction is phase biased, a stationary current (without ac component) can flow through it despite the nonequilibrium condition. A large critical current is predicted due to a dynamically induced long range triplet proximity effect. Such an effect could be observed in a conventional hybrid device close to the ferromagnetic resonance.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.101.057009

PACS numbers: 74.45.+c, 76.50.+g

The proximity effect in a ferromagnetic (F) metal in contact with a conventional superconductor (S) is usually short ranged [1]. Indeed, the conversion from Cooper pairs in the superconductor to Andreev pairs in the ferromagnet involves two electrons with opposite spins. They get quickly dephased due to the large value of the exchange field acting on their spins. Yet, it was predicted that a long range proximity effect can take place when the magnetization rotates spatially [2] or when spin-flip processes take place [3] in vicinity of the F/S interface. Indeed, Andreev pairs involving electrons with parallel spins are then created and can propagate on a long distance. The long range proximity effect was invoked to explain the large Josephson current measured through half-metallic (HM) chromium oxide [4] as well as superconducting phaseperiodic conductance oscillations in an Andreev interferometer made of a long metallic wire with helimagnetic order [5]. However, the crossover from short range to long range proximity effect in the same device remains to be observed. Recently, it was suggested to measure the strong variations of the Josephson current through S/F multilayers [6] or trilayer ferromagnetic junctions by tuning the relative orientations of the magnetizations [7].

In this Letter, we propose that the long range triplet proximity effect can be stimulated by varying *in time* (rather than *in space*) the orientation of the magnetization in the ferromagnet. Specifically, we consider a diffusive metallic S/F/S junction with dynamical precession of the magnetization, as shown in Fig. 1. In the ferromagnet, the conduction electrons feel the time-varying exchange field

$$\boldsymbol{h}_{F}(t) = h_{F}(\sin\theta\cos\Omega t, \sin\theta\sin\Omega t, \cos\theta), \quad (1)$$

which is proportional to the magnetization and assumed to be spatially uniform. Here, $\Omega/2\pi$ is the precession frequency around the \hat{z} axis, θ is a tilt angle, and the amplitude of exchange field, h_F , is constant at temperatures well below the Curie temperature. In the rotating frame, such precession can be viewed as a difference between spinresolved chemical potentials in the leads, $\mu_s = \hbar \Omega \hat{z}$. At finite tilt angle, h_F has a component transverse to μ_s . Thus, it creates a nonequilibrium situation for the conduction electrons. In particular, the device could act as a spin pump when the leads are in the normal state [8]. Moreover, in the superconducting state, the noncolinear orientation of h_F and μ_s generates a long range proximity effect similar to the case studied in Ref. [7]. Despite the nonequilibrium condition, we find that a stationary (dc only) Josephson current flows through the junction when a phase difference is applied to the leads. In a long junction, its amplitude is comparable to that of a normal (N) metallic Josephson junction with the same length, provided that $\hbar\Omega$ is comparable with k_BT_c (T_c is the superconducting critical temperature of the leads) and θ is large enough, while it would be exponentially suppressed in the absence of precession.

Recently, a Josephson effect was also predicted in S/ HM/S junctions when magnetic impurities located at the interfaces dynamically precess and mediate spin-flip tunneling processes for the conduction electrons [9]. It was also suggested that this effect could be observed at the ferromagnetic resonance (FMR). In the present work, we address the opposite case when the Fermi energy strongly exceeds the exchange field and the spin polarization in the ferromagnet is small. When the junction's length L strongly exceeds the ferromagnetic coherence length $\xi_F = \sqrt{\hbar D/h_F}$, where D is the diffusion constant, we will show that the Josephson current has two dominant contributions. The first one comes from long range triplet proximity



FIG. 1. Geometry of the ferromagnetic Josephson junction with precessing magnetization and configuration of dc and rf magnetic fields inducing the ferromagnetic resonance.

0031-9007/08/101(5)/057009(4)

effect on length scale $L_T = \sqrt{\hbar D/2\pi k_B T} \gg \xi_F$. The second one arises from the interference between short range and long range proximity effects out of equilibrium and it displays a nonanalytical temperature dependence close to T_c . Both have an oscillatory behavior, depending on the ratios between $\hbar \Omega$, $k_B T_c$, and the Thouless energy $E_T = \hbar D/L^2$. This provides a mechanism for π coupling [1] in long ferromagnetic Josephson junctions. In the following, we derive both components, discuss their properties and the conditions to observe them in conventional F/S structures.

Within the quasiclassical theory of superconductivity, the current flowing through the junction is [10,11]:

$$I(t) = -\frac{\pi GL}{8e} \operatorname{Tr}[\tau_{z}(\check{g} \circ \nabla \check{g})^{K}(t, t)], \qquad (2)$$

where the quasiclassical Green's function $\check{g}(x, t, t')$ is a matrix in spin, Nambu, and Keldysh spaces and it obeys the Usadel equation along the ferromagnetic layer:

$$-iD\nabla(\check{g} \circ \nabla\check{g}) + [(i\partial_t + \boldsymbol{h}_F(t) \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma})\tau_z \delta(t - t_1) \circ \check{g}] = 0.$$
(3)

Here, *G* is the conductance of the ferromagnetic layer, the spatial derivative is taken along the \hat{x} axis, *e* is the elementary charge, σ_i and τ_j (*i*, j = x, y, z) are the Pauli matrices in spin and Nambu spaces, respectively, and \circ denotes the time convolution. (Units with $\hbar = k_B = 1$ are adopted from now.) Note also that the orbital effect generated by the magnetization has been neglected in Eq. (3) as it is usually done [1]. Moreover, \check{g} obeys the properties: Tr $\check{g} = 0$ and $\check{g} \circ \check{g} = 1$, and it has a triangular structure in Keldysh space with the retarded, advanced, and Keldysh components: \hat{g}^R , \hat{g}^A , and \hat{g}^K , respectively. The normalization condition is fulfilled provided $\hat{g}^{R/A} \circ \hat{g}^{R/A} = 1$ and $\hat{g}^K = \hat{g}^R \circ \hat{f} - \hat{f} \circ \hat{g}^A$, where \hat{f} is a distribution function matrix which obeys [\hat{f}, τ_z] = 0 [11].

In the present study, we assume that there is a good electric contact at the F/S interfaces and we neglect the inverse proximity effect in the leads. This yields the boundary conditions:

$$\check{g}\left(x = \pm \frac{L}{2}, t, t'\right) = \check{g}_{s, \pm \chi/2}(t - t').$$
 (4)

Here, χ is the phase difference between the leads. The quasiclassical Green's function in a superconductor with phase ϕ is defined in energy space by $\hat{g}_{s,\phi}^{R/A}(\varepsilon) = [-i\varepsilon\tau_z + \Delta\tau_{\phi}]/\sqrt{\Delta^2 - (\varepsilon \pm i\Gamma)^2}$ and $\hat{g}_{s,\phi}^K = (\check{g}_{s,\phi}^R - \check{g}_{s,\phi}^A)f_T$, where $\tau_{\phi} = \cos\phi\tau_x - \sin\phi\tau_y$, $f_T(\varepsilon) = \tanh(\varepsilon/2T)$, and Δ is the conventional superconducting gap at temperature *T*. We also introduced a small, phenomenological depairing parameter Γ which may account for the current-induced depairing, as well as scattering on magnetic impurities in the leads.

To determine the current through the junction, one has to solve Eqs. (3) and (4). Despite a time-varying exchange

field in the Usadel equation (3), the problem is equivalent to a stationary (though nonequilibrium) one. Indeed, one may perform the unitary transformation

$$\check{g}(t,t') \to VU(t)\check{g}(t,t')U(t')^{\dagger}V^{\dagger}, \qquad (5)$$

where $U(t) = \exp(i\Omega t\sigma_z/2)$ transforms from the laboratory frame into a rotating frame and absorbs the timedependent terms in the Usadel equation (3), while $V = \exp(i\alpha\sigma_y/2)$, with $\tan\alpha = h_F \sin\theta/(h_F \cos\theta + \Omega/2)$, rotates the spin quantization axis. Specifically, V aligns the effective exchange field with amplitude $J = [h_F^2 \sin^2\theta + (h_F \cos\theta + \Omega/2)^2]^{1/2}$ along the \hat{z} axis and rotates the precession axis away from it. Equation (3) now takes a simple form in energy space:

$$+iD\nabla[\check{g}(x,\varepsilon)\nabla\check{g}(x,\varepsilon)] + [(\varepsilon + J\sigma_z)\tau_z,\check{g}(x,\varepsilon)] = 0. \quad (6)$$

The boundary conditions (4) yield $\check{g}(x = \pm \frac{L}{2}, \varepsilon) = V\check{g}_{s, \pm \chi/2}(\varepsilon + \Omega\sigma_z/2)V^{\dagger}$ and the current (2) takes the form

$$I = -\frac{GL}{16e} \int d\varepsilon \operatorname{Tr}[\tau_z(\check{g}\nabla\check{g})^K].$$
(7)

Let us emphasize that the special time dependence in Eq. (1) is crucial for the nonequilibrium problem (3) to be formulated as a stationary one, see Eq. (6). For a different time dependence and/or for applied bias voltage, one could use the procedure formulated in Ref. [12] to study numerically the conductance in S/N/S junctions.

In spite of the above simplification, we are still dealing with a complicated nonlinear equation (6). Now, we assume that the temperature is close to T_c , so that $\Delta \propto [T_c(T_c - T)]^{1/2}$ is vanishingly small. Thus, we can look for a solution $\check{g} = \check{g}_0 + \check{g}_1 + \check{g}_2 + \ldots$ in the series expansion around the normal state solution, when $\Delta/T_c \ll 1$. In zeroth order, one finds $\hat{g}_0^{R/A} = \pm \tau_z$ and

$$\hat{f}_{0} = f_{+} - f_{-} \left[\sin\alpha \left(\sigma_{x} \operatorname{Re} \frac{\cosh qx}{\cosh \frac{qL}{2}} + \sigma_{y} \operatorname{Im} \frac{\cosh qx}{\cosh \frac{qL}{2}} \right) - \cos\alpha \sigma_{z} \right],$$
(8)

where $f_{\pm}(\varepsilon) = [f_T(\varepsilon + \Omega/2) \pm f_T(\varepsilon - \Omega/2)]/2$ and $q = \sqrt{2iJ/D}$. We note that \hat{f}_0 is diagonal in Nambu space and the nondiagonal components in spin space only appear at finite Ω . In the first order in Δ , Eq. (6) yields

$$-iD\nabla^{2}\hat{g}_{1}^{R/A} \pm \{\varepsilon + J\sigma_{z}, \hat{g}_{1}^{R/A}\} = 0, \qquad (9)$$

which is solved with $\hat{g}_1^R = \bar{g}_{10}^R + \bar{g}_1^R \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma}$, where

$$\bar{g}_{1x}^{R} = -F_{-}^{R} \sin\alpha \left[\frac{\sinh k(L/2 - x)}{\sinh kL} \tau_{\chi/2} + \frac{\sinh k(L/2 + x)}{\sinh kL} \tau_{-\chi/2} \right], \qquad (10a)$$

$$\bar{g}_{10}^{R} \pm \bar{g}_{1z}^{R} = (F_{+}^{R} \pm F_{-}^{R} \cos\alpha) \left[\frac{\sinh p_{\pm}(L/2 - x)}{\sinh p_{\pm}L} \tau_{\chi/2} + \frac{\sinh p_{\pm}(L/2 + x)}{\sinh p_{\pm}L} \tau_{-\chi/2} \right],$$
(10b)

and $\bar{g}_{1y}^R = 0$ while $\hat{g}_1^A = -\tau_z(\hat{g}_1^R)^{\dagger}\tau_z$. Here, $k = \sqrt{-2i\varepsilon/D}$, $p_{\pm} = \sqrt{-2i(\varepsilon \pm J)/D}$, and $F_{\pm}^R(\varepsilon) = [F^R(\varepsilon + \Omega/2) \pm F^R(\varepsilon - \Omega/2)]/2$, where $F^R(\varepsilon) = i\Delta/\sqrt{(\varepsilon + i\Gamma)^2 - \Delta^2}$ [13]. We note that the component \bar{g}_{1x} is long ranged, while \bar{g}_{10} and \bar{g}_{1z} are short ranged. It is straightforward to check that the Keldysh component is solved with $\hat{f}_1 = 0$ and that the current (7) vanishes up to the first order in Δ .

In the second order in Δ , the current is

$$I = -\frac{GL}{16e} \int d\varepsilon \operatorname{Tr}[\tau_z (2\nabla \hat{f}_2 - \hat{g}_1^R \nabla \hat{f}_0 \hat{g}_1^A + \operatorname{Re}\{[\hat{g}_1^R \nabla \hat{g}_1^R - \nabla \hat{g}_1^R \hat{g}_1^R]\hat{f}_0 - [\hat{g}_1^R]^2 \nabla \hat{f}_0\})].$$
(11)

(The terms with $\hat{g}_2^{R/A}$ have been eliminated with help of the identities: $\{\tau_z, \hat{g}_2^{R/A}\} \pm (\hat{g}_1^{R/A})^2 = 0$ coming from the normalization condition $\check{g}^2 = 1$.) The function \hat{f}_2 vanishes at the boundaries with the leads and solves the differential equation

$$-2iD\nabla^{2}\hat{f}_{2} + 2[J\sigma_{z},\hat{f}_{2}] = -iD[\nabla\hat{g}_{1}^{R}\hat{g}_{1}^{R}\nabla\hat{f}_{0} + \nabla\hat{f}_{0}\hat{g}_{1}^{A}\nabla\hat{g}_{1}^{A} + \nabla(\hat{g}_{1}^{R}\nabla\hat{f}_{0}\hat{g}_{1}^{A})]. \quad (12)$$

Close to T_c , the Josephson relation remains sinusoidal, $I = I_c \sin \chi$, and the critical current I_c can be obtained by evaluating Eq. (11).

Before proceeding, let us make simplifying assumptions. In usual ferromagnets, h_F would exceed Ω and T_c by several orders of magnitude. Therefore, $\alpha \simeq \theta$, $J \simeq h_F$, and $p_- \simeq q$. We also assume that the junction is long $(L \gg \xi_F)$. Thus, we discard the exponentially small terms contributing to I_c and we find that it has two main terms: $I_c = I_c^t + I_c^{\text{st}}$. The first one comes from the long range triplet proximity effect (component \bar{g}_{1x}), only:

$$I_{c}^{t} = -\frac{G \sin^{2} \theta}{2e} \int d\varepsilon f_{+} \operatorname{Im} \left[(F_{-}^{R})^{2} \frac{kL}{\sinh kL} \right]$$
$$= -\frac{G \pi T \Delta^{2} \sin^{2} \theta}{e} \operatorname{Re} \sum_{\omega > 0} \left(\frac{1}{\omega + i\Omega} - \frac{1}{\omega} \right)^{2} \frac{\kappa_{\omega} L}{\sinh \kappa_{\omega} L},$$
(13)

where $\omega = (2n + 1)\pi T$ are Matsubara frequencies and $\kappa_{\omega} = \sqrt{2(\omega + i\Omega/2)/D}$. In particular, we get

$$I_c^t/I_{c0} \simeq \begin{cases} \frac{1}{24} (\Omega/T_c)^2 \sin^2\theta & \text{if } \Omega \ll T_c \ll E_T, \\ -\frac{1}{2} \sin^2\theta & \text{if } T_c \ll \Omega \ll E_T. \end{cases}$$
(14)

Here, $I_{c0} = \pi G \Delta^2 / 4eT_c$ is the critical current for a short S/N/S junction with $T_c \ll E_T$ (that is, $L \ll L_T$) at temperatures close to T_c . We notice that I_c^t vanishes at $\Omega = \pi T_c$. At larger frequency, it changes its sign and a π coupling between the superconducting leads is realized. When $T_c \sim E_T$, we find an oscillatory behavior of the frequency dependence of I_c^t on the scale of E_T (see Fig. 2) with an alternation of 0- and π couplings. When $T_c \gg E_T$, Ω , we get $I_c^t/I_{c1} = 2(\Omega/2\pi T_c)^2 \sin^2\theta$, where $I_{c1} = (8G\Delta^2/e\sqrt{2\pi T_c E_T})e^{-\sqrt{2\pi T_c/E_T}}$ is the critical current of a long S/N/S junction (with $L \gg L_T$).

In contrast to ferromagnetic Josephson junctions with spatial variation of the magnetization and S/HM/S junctions, there arises a mixing of short range and long range proximity effects through the nonequilibrium spindependent terms of the distribution function matrix in the system studied here. Most of the terms in Eq. (11) reflecting such mixing are suppressed by the small factor ξ_F/L , when compared to I_L^t . However, the contribution

$$I_c^{\rm st} = \frac{G\xi_F \sin^2\theta \cos\theta}{8eL} \int d\varepsilon f_- |F_-^R|^2 \operatorname{Re} \frac{kL}{\sinh kL}$$
(15)

coming from the "anomalous term" $\propto \hat{g}_1^R \nabla \hat{f}_0 \hat{g}_1^A$ in Eqs. (11) and (12) needs more care. Indeed, for this term, a finite depairing parameter Γ is necessary to regularize the otherwise diverging integral over the energies. Assuming $\Gamma \ll \Delta(T)$ and $\Omega \ll E_T$, we get in the logarithmic approximation:

$$I_c^{\rm st} \simeq \frac{G\Delta}{32e} \left(\frac{\xi_F}{L}\right) \sin^2\theta \cos\theta \ln\frac{\Delta}{\Gamma} \tanh\frac{\Omega}{T_c}.$$
 (16)

This term vanishes like $(T_c - T)^{1/2} \ln(T_c - T)$ close to T_c . Such nonanalytic temperature dependence was already met in the field of transport in N/S junctions [14]. When $\Omega \gg E_T$, I_c^{st} is suppressed by an additional factor $(2\sqrt{2}/\lambda) \times \sin(\lambda + \pi/4)e^{-\lambda}$, where $\lambda = \sqrt{\Omega/2E_T}$; it displays a decaying and oscillatory frequency dependence (see also Fig. 2).

Let us now compare both main contributions (13) and (15) to I_c . They have quite different dependences on the device parameters. At low precession frequency compared to T_c , I_c^{st} scales linearly with Ω and dominates over I_c^t which scales quadratically. On the other hand, at large frequency, I_c^t takes over if $\Delta/T_c \ge (\xi_F/L) \ln(\Delta/\Gamma)$. At low temperatures, we speculate that the above expressions still hold qualitatively. As the ratio Δ/T_c becomes of the order of unity, the critical current at $L \gg \xi_F$ is dominated by the long range triplet component only.



FIG. 2. Precession frequency dependence of long range (left) and "anomalous" (right) contributions to the critical current for ferromagnetic Josephson junctions with different lengths.

Simplifying assumptions have been made to get analytical expressions for the current. In principle, more realistic conditions could also be studied. The Usadel equation (6) may be solved numerically to get the current at all temperatures. Spin-flip diffusion in the ferromagnet and tunnel barriers at the F/S interfaces could easily be incorporated in the theory. On the other hand, a finite spin polarization in the ferromagnet, as well as a mean free path comparable with the coherence length would require to go beyond the quasiclassical theory for diffusive metals. Nevertheless, we expect that Eqs. (13)–(16) hold qualitatively beyond their strict range of validity. Thus, our findings are relevant to the study of F/S junctions with conventional metals. The quasiclassical theory has proved its usefulness for the prediction and quantitative analysis of several experiments in these systems [1].

The dynamically induced long range proximity effect studied in this work is expected to have special properties. In particular, it would be of interest to characterize the current-voltage characteristics in S/F and S/F/S junctions. We may expect an ac current response at frequencies mixing Ω and the Josephson frequency. We note this has been studied in the context of tunneling transport through a single magnetic impurity placed between two superconductors [15] as well as S/HM/S junctions with extended interfaces [16].

The long range Josephson current may be observed by performing FMR experiments in the microwave regime [9] in a planar S/F/S junction. This geometry was used in N/F/ N junctions [17,18] to detect electrically the spin pumping [8] due to a precessing magnetization. A large resonance frequency, such that $\hbar\Omega_0 \sim k_B T_c$ (1 K corresponds to 30 GHz), can be reached even by applying a moderate dc magnetic field H_0 along the plane of the ferromagnet [19]. A small transverse rf field, $H_{\rm rf}$, is used to induce the magnetization precession. The tilt angle θ strongly depends on the precession frequency. At resonance, θ can be estimated from the Landau-Lifshitz equations: $\theta \sim$ $\mu H_{\rm rf}/a\hbar\Omega_0$, where μ is the Bohr magneton and a is the Gilbert damping parameter. In soft ferromagnets, a quite large $\theta \simeq 15^\circ$ could be obtained. Away from resonance, θ is strongly reduced. This would induce a strong frequency dependence, via angle θ , in the expressions for the critical current derived above. We note that FMR was recently performed in an Nb-Permalloy bilayer [20]. Below the superconducting critical temperature, the reduction of the resonance width was observed. It was attributed to an efficient proximity effect leading to the reduced efficiency of spin-flip processes below T_c [21]. In particular, this shows that the proximity effect still persists at FMR. If the same conditions can be met in an S/F/S junction, we expect that the large critical current predicted in this work will be well measurable.

In conclusion, we have proposed a model of ferromagnetic Josephson junction with precessing magnetization where a large current flows thanks to a dynamically induced long range proximity effect. The dependence of the current with the precession frequency shows an oscillatory behavior. We have discussed the conditions for this effect to be observed under the condition of ferromagnetic resonance in superconductor-ferromagnet devices with conventional metals.

The work presented above has benefited from discussions with S. Teber, R. Mélin, and D. Feinberg on a related problem, as well as with A. Buzdin, M. Aprili, and T. Champel. Support from No. ANR-07-NANO011 ELEC-EPR is acknowledged.

- [1] A. I. Buzdin, Rev. Mod. Phys. 77, 935 (2005).
- [2] F. S. Bergeret, A. F. Volkov, and K. B. Efetov, Phys. Rev. Lett. 86, 4096 (2001); A. Kadigrobov, R. I. Shekhter, and M. Jonson, Europhys. Lett. 54, 394 (2001); F. S. Bergeret, A. F. Volkov, and K. B. Efetov, Rev. Mod. Phys. 77, 1321 (2005).
- [3] M. Eschrig *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **90**, 137003 (2003); Y. Asano, Y. Tanaka, and A. A. Golubov, Phys. Rev. Lett. **98**, 107002 (2007); M. Eschrig and T. Löfwander, Nature Phys. **4**, 138 (2008).
- [4] R.S. Keizer et al., Nature (London) 439, 825 (2006).
- [5] I. Sosnin et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 96, 157002 (2006).
- [6] A. F. Volkov, F. S. Bergeret, and K. B. Efetov, Phys. Rev. Lett. 90, 117006 (2003).
- [7] V. Braude and Yu. V. Nazarov, Phys. Rev. Lett. 98, 077003 (2007); M. Houzet and A. I. Buzdin, Phys. Rev. B 76, 060504(R) (2007).
- [8] Y. Tserkovnyak et al., Rev. Mod. Phys. 77, 1375 (2005).
- [9] S. Takahashi et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 99, 057003 (2007).
- [10] K.D. Usadel, Phys. Rev. Lett. 25, 507 (1970).
- [11] A.I. Larkin and Yu.N. Ovchinnikov, in *Nonequilibrium Superconductivity*, edited by D.N. Langenberg and A.I. Larkin (Elsevier Science Publishers, New York, 1986).
- [12] J.C. Cuevas et al., Phys. Rev. B 73, 184505 (2006).
- [13] The retarded anomalous function reduces to $F^{R}(\varepsilon) = i\Delta/(\varepsilon + i0^{+})$ when Δ , $\Gamma \ll |\varepsilon|$. Below, we will see a circumstance where the full denominator should be kept, still assuming Δ , $\Gamma \ll T_{c}$.
- [14] R. Seviour, C. J. Lambert, and A. F. Volkov, Phys. Rev. B 59, 6031 (1999).
- [15] Jian-Xin Zhu and A. V. Balatsky, Phys. Rev. B 67, 174505 (2003).
- [16] S. Hikino et al., J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 77, 053707 (2008).
- [17] M. V. Costache et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 97, 216603 (2006).
- [18] T. Moriyama et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 100, 067602 (2008).
- [19] C. Kittel, Introduction to Solid State Physics (John Wiley, New York, 2005).
- [20] C. Bell et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 100, 047002 (2008).
- [21] J. P. Morten et al., arXiv:0712.2814.